Math4826 Lecture Note 4 Appendix

Heng Peng

March 18, 2020

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)

P4 Strictly Stationary process, for any m,

$$p(z_{t_1},\ldots,z_{t_m})=p(z_{t_1+k},\ldots,z_{t_m+k})$$

Weakly Stationary process

$$\mathrm{E}z_t = \mu, \mathrm{Cov}(z_t, z_{t+k}) = \gamma_k, k = 0, 1, \ldots$$

Example: white noise process {a_t, t = 0, ±1, ±2,...} are a sequence of uncorrelated variable from fixed distribution with mean E(a_t) = 0, Var(a_t) = σ² and Cov(a_t, a_{t-k}) = 0 for all k ≠ 0.

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_0 &= & \mathcal{E}(a_t + \psi_1 a_{t-1} + \psi_2 a_{t-2} + \cdots)^2 \\ &= & \mathcal{E}a_t^2 + \psi_1^2 \mathcal{E}a_{t-1}^2 + \psi_2^2 \mathcal{E}a_{t-2}^2 + \cdots \\ &= & \sigma^2 \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \psi_j^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_k &= \mathrm{E}(z_t - \mu)(z_{t-k} - \mu) \\ &= \mathrm{E}(a_t + \psi_1 a_{t-1} + \psi_2 a_{t-2} + \cdots)(a_{t-k} + \psi_1 a_{t-k-1} + \cdots) \\ &= 1 \cdot \psi_k \mathrm{E}a_{t-k}^2 + \psi_1 \psi_{k+1} \mathrm{E}a_{t-k-1}^2 + \psi_2 \psi_{k+2} \mathrm{E}a_{t-k-2}^2 + \cdots \\ &= \sigma^2 \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \psi_j \psi_{j+k} \end{aligned}$$

- Example ψ₁ = −θ and ψ_j = 0, j ≥ 2, then z_t − μ = a_t − θa_{t−1}, the first order moving average process.
- Example $\psi_j = \phi^j$, the first-order autoregressive process

$$z_t - \mu = a_t + \phi a_{t-1} + \phi^2 a_{t-2} + \cdots$$

= $a_t + \phi(a_{t-1} + \phi a_{t-2} + \phi^2 a_{t-3} + \cdots)$
= $\phi(z_{t-1} - \mu) + a_t$

P9. For AR(1), it is important that $|\phi| < 1$ (Stationary Condition), since otherwise the ψ weight would not converge.

P11.

$$1 - \phi_1 B - \phi_2 B^2 = (1 - G_1 B)(1 - G_2 B) = 0,$$

 G_1^{-1} and G_2^{-1} are its roots. For the stationarity, it requires that the roots are such that $|G_1^{-1}| > 1$ and $G_2^{-1}| > 1$.

• Example: $\phi_1 = 0.8, \phi_2 = -0.15$ The solution of

$$(1 - 0.8B + 0.15B^2) = (1 - 0.5B)(1 - 0.3B) = 0$$

is given by $G_1^{-1} = 1/0.5 = 2$ and $G_2^{-1} = 10/3$, which are both larger than 1 in absolute value. Hence the process is stationary.

• Example: $\phi_1 = 1.5, \phi_2 = -0.5$ The solution of

$$(1 - 1.5B + 0.5B^2) = (1 - B)(1 - 0.5B) = 0$$

is given by $G_1^{-1} = 1$ and $G_2^{-1} = 2$, which has one root at 1. Hence the process is not stationary.

• Example: $\phi_1 = 1, \phi_2 = -0.5$ The solution of

$$(1 - B + 0.5B^2) = 0$$

are complex and given by $G_1^{-1} = 1 + i$ and $G_2^{-1} = 1 - i$, $|G_1^{-1}| = |G_2^{-1}| = \sqrt{1+1} = \sqrt{2}$ are both larger than 1. Hence the process is stationary. P14. For k = 1 $\rho_1 = \phi_1 \rho_0 + \phi_2 \rho_{-1} = \phi_1 + \phi_2 \rho_1 = \frac{ph_1}{1 - \phi_2}$ For k = 2 $\rho_2 = \phi_1 \rho_1 + \phi_2 \rho_0 = \frac{\phi_1^2}{1 - \phi_2} + \phi_2$ • By $\gamma_0(1-\phi_1\rho_1-\phi_2\rho_2)=\sigma^2$, then $\gamma_0 = \frac{1 - \phi_2}{1 + \phi_2} \frac{\sigma^2}{(1 - \phi_2)^2 - \phi_2^2}$

P16. $\rho = (\rho_1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_p)^T, \phi = (\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_p)^T$

- For the AR(1) model, the Yule-Walker equation is given by ρ₁ = φ.
- For the AR(2) model the Yule-Walker equation are

$$\rho_1 = \phi_1 + \rho_1 \phi_2$$

$$\rho_2 = \rho_1 \phi_1 + \phi_2$$

which leads to

$$\phi_1 = \frac{\rho_1(1-\rho_2)}{1-\rho_1^2}, \phi_2 = \frac{\rho_2-\rho_1^2}{1-\rho_1^2}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

▶ φ(B)ρ_k = 0, k = 1, 2, ..., determines the behavior of the authorcorrelation function. It can be shown that its solution is

$$\rho_k = A_1 G_1^k + \dots + A_\rho G_\rho^k, k = 1, 2, \dots$$

where G_i^{-1} , i = 1, ..., p are the distinct roots of $\phi(B) = (1 - G_1 B)(1 - G_2 B) \cdots (1 - G_p B)$.

► The stationary condition imply that |G_i⁻¹| > 1, i = 1,..., p. Hence the ACF is described by a mixture of damped exponential (for real roots) and damped sine waves(for complex roots).

P17. Alternative interpretation of Partial Autocorrelations The partial correlation coefficient between two random variables X and Y, conditional on a third variable W, is the ordinary correlation coefficient calculated from the conditional distribution p(x, y|w). It can be thought of as the correlation between X - E(X|W) and Y - E(Y|W), and the assumption of joint normality of (X, Y, W) is given by

$$\rho_{XY \cdot W} = \frac{E(X - E(X|W))(Y - E(Y|W))}{\{E(X - E(X|W)^2 E(Y - E(Y|W)^2)\}^{\frac{1}{2}}}$$
$$= \frac{\rho_{XY} - \rho_{XW}\rho_{YW}}{[(1 - \rho_{XW}^2)(1 - \rho_{YW}^2]^{\frac{1}{2}}}$$

• In the context of a lag 2 partial autocorrelation, the variables are $X = z_t$, $Y = z_{t-2}$, $W = z_{t-1}$, and $\rho_{XY} = \rho_2$, $\rho_{XW} = \rho_{YW} = \rho_1$. Hence

$$\rho_{22} = \rho_{z_t z_{t-2} \cdot z_{t-1}} = \operatorname{Corr}(z_t - \operatorname{E}(z_t | z_{t-1}), z_{t-2} - \operatorname{E}(z_{t-2} | z_{t-1}))$$
$$= \frac{\rho_2 - \rho_1^2}{1 - \rho_1^2}$$

P23. Example: Second-Order Moving Average Process[MA(2)]

$$z_t - \mu = a_t - \theta_1 a_{t-1} - \theta_2 a_{t-2}$$
 or $z_t - \mu = (1 - \theta_1 B - \theta_2 B^2) a_t$

▶ Hence $\psi_0 = 1, \psi_1 = -\theta_1, \psi_2 = -\theta_2, \psi = 0; j > 2$. Then

$$\gamma_0 = (1 + \theta_1^2 + \theta_2^2)\sigma^2, \gamma_1 = (-\theta_1 + \theta_1\theta_2)\sigma^2, \gamma_2 = -\theta_2\sigma^2$$

$$\gamma_k = 0$$
, for $k > 2$

The autocorrelations are

$$\rho_1 = \frac{-\theta_1 + \theta_1 \theta_2}{1 + \theta_1^2 + \theta_2^2}, \rho_2 = \frac{-\theta_2}{1 + \theta_1^2 + \theta_2^2}, \rho_k = 0, \text{ for } k > 2$$

 If MA(2) process is in term of an infinite autoregressive rerpesentation,

$$z_t - \mu = \pi_1(z_{t-1} - \mu) + \pi_2(z_{t-2} - \mu) + \cdots + a_t,$$

then the π weight can be obtained from

$$\pi(B) = 1 - \pi_1 B - \pi_2 B^2 - \dots = (1 - \theta_1 B - \theta_2 B^2)^{-1}$$

and

$$(1 - \pi_1 B - \pi_2 B^2 - \cdots)(1 - \theta_1 B - \theta_2 B^2) = 1$$

and are given by

$$B^{1}: -\pi_{1} - \theta_{1} = 0, \ \pi_{1} = -\theta_{1}$$

$$B^{2}: -\pi_{2} + \theta_{1}\pi_{1} - \theta_{2} = 0, \ \pi_{2} = \theta_{1}\pi_{1} - \theta_{2} = -\theta_{1}^{2} - \theta_{2}$$

$$B^{j}: -\pi_{j} + \theta_{1}\pi_{j-1} + \theta_{2}\pi_{j-2} = 0, \ \pi_{j} = \theta_{1}\pi_{j-1} + \theta_{2}\pi_{j-1}, j > 2.$$

MA(2) continuous. For invertibility, the π weight is required converged, which in turn implies conditions on the parmater θ₁ and θ₂, the roots of (1 − θ₁B − θ₂B² = 0 = (1 − H₁B)(1 − H₂B) lie outside the unit circle. Hence

$$\theta_1 + \theta_2 < 1, \theta_2 - \theta_1 < 1, -1 < \theta_2 < 1.$$

Partial autocorrelation function for MA(1)

$$\phi_{11} = \rho_1 = \frac{-\theta}{1+\theta^2} = \frac{-\theta(1-\theta^2)}{1-\theta^4}$$

$$\phi_{22} = \frac{\rho_2 - \rho_1^2}{1-\rho_1^2} = \frac{-\rho_1^2}{1-\rho_1^2} = \frac{-\theta^2}{1+\theta^2+\theta^4} = \frac{-\theta^2(1-\theta^2)}{1-\theta^6}$$

$$\phi_{33} = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} 1 & \rho_1 & \rho_1 \\ \rho_1 & 1 & \rho_2 \\ \rho_2 & \rho_1 & \rho_3 \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} 1 & \rho_1 & \rho_1 \\ \rho_2 & \rho_1 & \rho_1 \end{vmatrix}} = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} 1 & \rho_1 & \rho_1 \\ \rho_1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \rho_1 & 0 \\ \rho_1 & 1 & \rho_1 \\ 0 & \rho_1 & \rho_1 \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} 1 & \rho_1 & \rho_1 \\ \rho_1 & 1 & \rho_1 \\ 0 & \rho_1 & \rho_1 \end{vmatrix}} = \frac{\rho_1^3}{1-2\rho_1^2} = \frac{-\theta^3(1-\theta^2)}{1-\theta^8}$$

P26. ARMA(1,1) Model, ψ weight

$$z_t - \mu = a_t + \psi_1 a_{t-1} + \psi_2 a_{t-2} + \dots = \frac{1 - \theta B}{1 - \phi B} a_t$$

or

$$(1-\phi B)(a_t+\psi_1a_{t-1}+\psi_2a_{t-2}+\cdots)=1-\theta B$$

Hence

$$B^{1}: \psi_{1} - \phi = -\theta, \psi_{1} = \phi - \theta$$
$$B^{2}: \psi_{2} - \phi\psi_{1} = 0, \psi_{2} = \phi\psi_{1} = (\phi - \psi)\phi$$
$$B^{j}: \psi_{j} - \phi\psi_{j-1} = 0, \psi_{j} = \phi\psi_{j-1} = (\phi - \theta)\phi^{j-1}, j > 0$$

• π representation for ARMA(1,1)

$$a_t = z_t - \mu - \pi_1(z_{t-1} - \mu) - \pi_2(z_{t-2} - \mu) - \cdots = \pi(B)(z_t - \mu) = \frac{1 - \phi B}{1 - \theta B}(z_t - \mu)$$

$$B^{1}: -\pi_{1} - \theta = -\phi, \pi_{1} = \phi - \theta$$
$$B^{2}: -\pi_{2} + \theta\pi_{1} = 0, \pi_{2} = \theta\pi_{1} = (\phi - \theta)\theta$$
$$B^{j}: -\pi_{j} + \theta\pi_{j-1}, \pi_{j} = \theta\pi_{j-1} = (\phi - \theta)\theta^{j-1}$$

<□ > < @ > < E > < E > E のQ @

• Autocorrelation function of ARMA(1,1), set $Ez_t = \mu = 0$ $\gamma_k = \phi \gamma_{k-1} + \mathbf{E}(a_t z_{t-k}) - \theta \mathbf{E}(a_{t-1} z_{t-k})$ If k > 1, $E(a_t z_{t-k}) = \theta E(a_{t-1} z_{t-k}) = 0$, Therefore $\gamma_k = \phi \gamma_{k-1}$, for k > 1 $E(a_t z_t) = E[a_t(a_t + \psi_1 z_{t-1} + \psi_2 z_{t-2} + \cdots)] = Ea_t^2 = \sigma^2$ $E(a_t z_{t-1}) = E[a_{t-1}(a_t + \psi_1 z_{t-1} + \psi_2 z_{t-2} + \cdots)] = E\psi_1 a_{t-1}^2 = (\phi - \theta)\sigma^2$ Then 2

$$egin{aligned} k &= 0: \gamma_0 = \phi \gamma_1 + \sigma^2 - heta(\phi - heta) \sigma^2 \ k &= 1: \gamma_1 = \phi \gamma_0 - heta \sigma^2 \end{aligned}$$

Solve this equation system to get γ_0 and γ_1 . (*check by yourself*)

P49. AR(1) process: Suppose we are given past observations z_n, z_{n-1}, \ldots and wish to predict z_{n+l} . For $\ell = 1$

$$z_n(l) = E(z_{n+1}|z_n, z_{n-1}, ...)$$

= $E\{[\mu + \phi(z_n - \mu) + a_{n+1}]|z_n, z_{n-1}, ...\}$
= $\mu + \phi(z_n - \mu).$

Since $E(z_n|z_n, z_{n-1}, ...) = z_n, E(a_{n+1}|z_n, z_{n-1}, ...) = 0$. For $\ell = 2$,

$$z_n(2) = E(z_{n+2}|z_n, z_{n-1}, ...)$$

= $E\{[\mu + \phi(z_{n+1} - \mu) + a_{n+2}]|z_n, z_{n-1}, ...\}$
= $\mu + \phi[z_n(1) - \mu] = \mu + \phi^2(z_n - \mu)$

The ℓ -step-ahead prediction can be written as

$$z_{n}(\ell) = E(z_{n+\ell}|z_{n}, z_{n-1}, ...)$$

= $E\{[\mu + \phi(z_{n+\ell-1} - \mu) + a_{n+\ell}]|z_{n}, z_{n-1}, ...\}$
= $\mu + \phi[z_{n}(\ell - 1) - \mu] = \cdots = \mu + \phi^{\ell}(z_{n} - \mu)$

 AR(1) continuous. The forecast errors corresponding to the above forecasts are

$$e_n(1) = z_{n+1} - z_n(1) = \mu + \phi(z_n - \mu) + a_{n+1} - [\mu + \phi(z_n - \mu)] = a_{n+1}.$$

$$e_n(2) = z_{n+2} - z_n(2)$$

= $\mu + \phi(z_{n+1} - \mu) + a_{n+2} - [\mu + \phi^2(z_n - \mu)]$
= $a_{n+2} + \phi[(z_{n+1} - \mu) - \phi(z_n - \mu)] = a_{n+2} + \phi a_{n+1}$

Similarly, it can be shown that

$$e_n(\ell) = a_{n+\ell} + \phi a_{n+\ell-1} + \cdots + \phi^{\ell-1} a_{n+1}$$

and

$$V[e_n(\ell)] = \sigma^2(1 + \phi^2 + \dots + \phi^{2(\ell-1)}) = \sigma^2 \frac{1 - \phi^{2\ell}}{1 - \phi^2}$$

• AR(1) continuous. Consider the yield series. It is shown that this series can be described by an AR(1) model with $\hat{\mu} = 0.97$, $\hat{\phi} = 0.85$ and $\hat{\sigma}^2 = 0.024$. Since the last observation is $z_{156} = 0.49$, the forecasts are

$$\hat{z}_{156}(1) = 0.97 + 0.85(0.49 - 0.97) = 0.56$$

 $\hat{z}_{156}(2) = 0.97 + 0.85^2(0.49 - 0.97) = 0.62$
 $\hat{z}_{156}(3) = 0.97 + 0.85^3(0.49 - 0.97) = 0.68$

and their variance are

$$Var[e_{156}(1)] = 0.024$$

$$Var[e_{156}(2)] = 0.024 \frac{1 - .85^4}{1 - .85^2} = 0.041$$

$$Var[e_{156}(3)] = 0.024 \frac{1 - .85^6}{1 - .85^4} = 0.054$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

AR(2) process z_t = φ₁z_{t-1} + φ₂z_{t-2} + a_t with μ = 0. The one-step ahead (ℓ = 1 forecast given the observations z_n, z_{n-1},... can be expressed as

$$z_n(1) = E(z_{n+1}|z_n, z_{n-1}, ...)$$

= $E[(\phi_1 z_n + \phi_2 z_{n-1} + a_{n+1})|z_n, z_{n-1}, ...]$
= $\phi_1 z_n + \phi_2 z_{n-1}$

For $\ell = 2$

$$\begin{aligned} z_n(2) &= & \mathrm{E}(z_{n+2}|z_n, z_{n-1}, \ldots) \\ &= & \mathrm{E}[(\phi_1 z_{n+1} + \phi_2 z_n + a_{n+2})|z_n, z_{n-1}, \ldots] \\ &= & \phi_1 z_n(1) + \phi_2 z_n. \end{aligned}$$

In general,

$$z_n(\ell) = \phi_1 z_n(\ell-1) + \phi_2 z_n(\ell-2), \text{ or } (1-\phi_1 B - \phi_2 B^2) z_n(\ell) = 0, \ell > 0$$

• The forecast error and its weight can be calculated by substituting the ψ weight of the AR(2) model. It is easily to seen that the ψ weights are

$$\psi_1 = \phi_1, \psi_2 = \phi_1^2 + \phi_2, \psi_j = \phi_1 \psi_{j-1} + \phi_2 \psi_{j-2}, j \ge 2$$

► ARIMA(0,1,1) process. Given the observations z_n, z_{n-1},.... the predictions from the model z_t = z_{t-1} + a_t - θa_{t-1} can be obtained from the conditional expectation form:

$$z_n(1) = E(Z_{n+1}|z_n, z_{n-1}, ...)$$

= $E[(z_n + a_{n+1} - \theta a_n)|z_n, z_{n-1}, ...] = z_n - \theta a_n$
 $z_n(2) = E(z_{n+2}|z_n, z_{n=1}, ...) = z_n - \theta a_n$

and in general

$$z_n(\ell) = z_n(\ell - 1), \text{ or } (1 - B)z_n(\ell) = 0.$$

The ψ weight can be obtained from $\psi(B) = (1 - \theta B)/(1 - B)$ and it is given by $\psi_j = 1 - \theta$ for all j > 0. Hence the forecast error is given by

$$e_n(\ell) = a_{n+\ell} + (1-\theta)(a_{n+\ell-1} + \cdots + a_{n+1})$$

and its variance by

$$V[e_n(\ell)] = \sigma^2 [1 + (\ell - 1)(1 - \theta)^2].$$

 ARIMA(0,1,1) process continuous. Alternatively, the forecast can be expressed as a linear combination of the past observations. Write the model in its autoregressive representation

$$z_t = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \pi_j z_{t-j} + a_t$$

where $\pi_j = (1 - \theta)\theta^{j-1}, j \ge 1$ are coefficients in $\pi(B) = (1 - B)/(1 - \theta B)$. Hence $z_t = (1 - \theta)(z_{t-1} + \theta z_{t-2} + \theta^2 z_{t-3} + \cdots) + a_t$. Taking the conditional expectation of z_{n+1} given z_n, z_{n-1}, \ldots , we find that

$$z_n(1)=(1-\theta)(z_n+\theta z_{n-1}+\theta^2 z_{n-2}+\cdots).$$

This forecast is an exponentially weighted average of present and past observation and is the same as that obtained from single exponential smoothing with a smoothing constant $\alpha = 1 - \theta$.

► ARIMA(1,1,1) process: $(1 - \phi B)(1 - B)z_t = \theta_0 + (1 - \theta B)a_t$ or

$$z_{t} = \theta_{0} + (1 + \phi)z_{t-1} - \phi z_{t-2} + a_{t} - \theta a_{t-1}$$

Taking conditional expectation, we can calculate the forecasting according to

$$z_n(1) = \mathcal{E}(z_{n+1}|z_n, z_{n-1}, \ldots) = \theta_0 + (1+\phi)z_n - \phi z_{n-1} - \theta a_n$$
$$z_n(2) = \mathcal{E}(z_{n+2}|z_n, z_{n-1}, \ldots) = \theta_0 + (1+\phi)z_n(1) - \phi z_n$$

and so on

$$z_n(\ell) = \operatorname{E}(z_{n+\ell}|z_n, z_{n-1}, \ldots) = heta_0 + (1+\phi)z_n(\ell-1) - \phi z_n(\ell-2)$$

or For $\ell \ge 2$

$$[(1 - (1 + \phi)B + \phi B^2]z_n(\ell) = (1 - \phi B)(1 - B)z_n(\ell) = \theta_0.$$

• ARIMA(0,2,2) process: $(1 - B)^2 z_t = (1 - \theta_1 B - \theta_2 B^2) a_t$ or

$$z_t = 2z_{t-1} - z_{t-2} + a_t - \theta_1 a_{t-1} - \theta_2 a_{t-2}$$

Given the observations z_n, z_{n-1}, \ldots , the forecasts are

$$z_{n}(1) = E(z_{n+1}|z_{n}, z_{n-1}, \ldots) = 2z_{n} - z_{n-1} - \theta_{1}a_{n} - \theta_{2}a_{n-1}$$
$$z_{n}(2) = E(z_{n+2}|z_{n}, z_{n-1}, \ldots) = 2z_{n}(1) - z_{n} - \theta_{2}a_{n}$$
$$z_{n}(3) = E(z_{n+3}|z_{n}, z_{n-1}, \ldots) = 2z_{n}(2) - z_{n}(1)$$
and

$$z_n(\ell) = \mathrm{E}(z_{n+\ell}|z_n, z_{n-1}, \ldots) = 2z_n(\ell-1) - z_n(\ell-2), \ell \geq 3$$

or

$$(1-2B+B^2)z_n(\ell) = (1-B)^2z_n(\ell) = 0$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ