

Real-valued Iterative Algorithms for Complex Symmetric Linear Systems

Daniele Bertaccini

bertaccini@mat.uniroma1.it

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA “GUIDO CASTELNUOVO”, UNIVERSITÀ DI ROMA “LA SAPIENZA”, ITALY

Large and sparse complex valued linear systems of algebraic equations arise in many applications. Sometimes the underlying matrices are *complex symmetric*, i.e., non Hermitian but have a symmetric pattern. A typical example for this category is the complex Helmholtz equation, time-dependent Schrödinger equation, inverse scattering problems, generalized eigenvalue problems and many others.

Let us write the underlying complex linear system in the form

$$(A + \mathbf{i}B)(x + \mathbf{i}y) = (b + \mathbf{i}c).$$

Complex symmetric linear systems will have A and B real symmetric matrices, which are assumed here to be large and sparse and $\mathbf{i} = \sqrt{-1}$. We focus on preconditioned iterations applied on the equivalent real formulation (see, e.g., [6] and [7] for other formulations)

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & -B \\ B & A \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b \\ c \end{pmatrix}$$

or

$$\mathcal{M}u = d$$

We exploit the similarities between the above form and generalized saddle point problems [3] to introduce and analyze several block preconditioners. In particular, we consider block diagonal, perturbations of block diagonal, block triangular as well as one based on symmetric and skew-symmetric splitting [2,5]

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & -B \\ B & A \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & A \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -B \\ B & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

or

$$\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{H} + \mathcal{S}.$$

Real formulations have been considered in several works (see, e.g., [1,4,6]) because there are several good packages which work in real arithmetics. It has been observed in [7] that, in general, iterative solvers show often an unfavorable convergence behavior for the transformed problem with respect to the original one. However, we experienced that preconditioning can be very beneficial.

Joint work with Michele Benzi, Emory University, Atlanta. Partially supported by NSF grant DMS-0207599, by INdAM-GNCS, and by MIUR grant 2002014121.

References

- [1] O. Axelsson and A. Kuchеров, *Real valued iterative methods for solving complex symmetric linear systems*, Numer. Lin. Alg. with Appl., 7 (2000), pp. 197–218.
- [2] Z. Bai, G. Golub, M. Ng, “Hermitian and Skew-Hermitian splitting methods for non-Hermitian positive definite linear systems”, *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.*, **24-3** (2003), pp. 603–626.
- [3] M. Benzi, G. H. Golub, *A preconditioner for generalized saddle point problems*, SIAM J. Matr. Anal. Appl., 26–1 (2004), pp.20–41.
- [4] D. Bertaccini, *Efficient preconditioning for sequences of parametric complex symmetric linear systems*, Electr. Transactions on Numerical Analysis, 18 (2004), pp. 49–64.
- [5] D. Bertaccini, G. H. Golub, S. Serra-Capizzano and C. Tablino-Possio *Preconditioned HSS methods for the solution of non-Hermitian positive definite linear systems and applications to the discrete convection-diffusion equation*, Numerische Mathematik, 99 (2005), pp. 441–484.
- [6] D. D. Day and M. A. Heroux, *Solving complex-valued linear systems via equivalent real formulations*, SIAM J. Sci. Comput. 23 (2001), pp.480–498.
- [7] R. Freund, *Conjugate gradient-type methods for linear systems with complex symmetric coefficient matrices*, SIAM J. Sci. Comput. 13 (1992), pp.425–448.