

# Math3806 Lecture Note 2 Appendix

Heng Peng

► Example 2.10:

$$3x_1^2 + 2x_2^2 - 2\sqrt{2}x_1x_2 = [x_1 \ x_2] \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -\sqrt{2} \\ -\sqrt{2} & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

But the root of

$$\begin{vmatrix} 3 - \lambda & -\sqrt{2} \\ -\sqrt{2} & 2 - \lambda \end{vmatrix}$$

or

$$(3 - \lambda)(2 - \lambda) - 2 = \lambda^2 - 5\lambda + 4 = (\lambda - 4)(\lambda - 1)$$

is 4 and 1. Hence

$$\begin{bmatrix} 3 & -\sqrt{2} \\ -\sqrt{2} & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

is positive definite matrix, and so is

$$3x_1^2 + 2x_2^2 - 2\sqrt{2}x_1x_2.$$

- P26. For example, if  $\mathbf{X}$  is an  $n \times 1$  random vector, and the random elements of  $\mathbf{X}$  independent with same distribution and same variance  $\sigma^2$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & E\{\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{X} - E\mathbf{X})(\mathbf{X} - E\mathbf{X})^T \mathbf{B}\} \\ &= \mathbf{A}E\{(\mathbf{X} - E\mathbf{X})(\mathbf{X} - E\mathbf{X})^T\} \mathbf{B} \\ &= \mathbf{A}\sigma^2 I_{n \times n} \mathbf{B} = \sigma^2 \mathbf{AB} \end{aligned}$$

- P27. For this example,  $\mathbf{X}$  is a  $2 \times 1$  random vector

$$\mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and then} \quad E\mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} EX_1 \\ EX_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$EX_1 = -1 \cdot 0.3 + 0 \cdot 0.3 + 1 \cdot 0.4 = 0.1, \quad EX_2 = 0 \cdot 0.8 + 1 \cdot 0.2 = 0.2$$

$$\text{So } E\mathbf{X} = [0.1, 0.2]^T.$$

P28.

$$\mu = E(\mathbf{X}) = \begin{bmatrix} EX_1 \\ EX_2 \\ \vdots \\ EX_p \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma &= E \begin{pmatrix} X_1 - \mu_1 \\ X_2 - \mu_2 \\ \vdots \\ X_p - \mu_p \end{pmatrix} (X_1 - \mu_1, X_2 - \mu_2, \dots, X_p - \mu_p) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} E(X_1 - \mu_1)^2 & \cdots & E(X_1 - \mu_1)(X_p - \mu_p) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ E(X_p - \mu_p)(X_1 - \mu_1) & \cdots & E(X_p - \mu_p)^2 \end{pmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

P28. Hence

$$\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{11} & \cdots & \sigma_{1p} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sigma_{p1} & \cdots & \sigma_{pp} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_{ij} = \sigma_{ji}, \sigma_{ii} = \sigma_i^2, i, j = 1, \dots, p.$$

- Furthermore,  $\Sigma$  is nonnegative definite matrix since

$$\begin{aligned} Y^T \Sigma Y &= E \left\{ Y^T \begin{pmatrix} X_1 - \mu_1 \\ \vdots \\ X_p - \mu_p \end{pmatrix} (X_1 - \mu_1, \dots, X_p - \mu_p) Y \right\} \\ &= E[y_1(X_1 - \mu_1) + \dots + y_p(X_p - \mu_p)]^2 \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

where  $Y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_p)^T$ .

P29. It is obvious that if  $X_i, X_j$  are statistical independent, then

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Cov}(X_i, X_j) &= E(X_i - \mu_i)(X_j - \mu_j) \\&= \int (X_i - \mu_i)(X_j - \mu_j)f(X_i, X_j)dX_idX_j \\&= \int (X_i - \mu_i)(X_j - \mu_j)f(X_i)f(X_j)dX_idX_j \\&= E(X_i - \mu_i)E(X_j - \mu_j) = 0\end{aligned}$$

So statistical independence is stronger than linear dependence.

P30.

$$\rho = \begin{bmatrix} \rho_{11} & \cdots & \rho_{1p} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \rho_{p1} & \cdots & \rho_{pp} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \cdots & \rho_{1p} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \rho_{p1} & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Furthermore,

$$\rho = V^{-1/2} \Sigma V^{-1/2}, \quad , \Sigma = V^{1/2} \rho V^{1/2}$$

where

$$V = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{11} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_{22} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & 0 & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \sigma_{pp} \end{bmatrix}$$

P31. Example 2.12. We have known  $\mu_1 = E(X_1) = .1$  and  $\mu_2 = E(X_2) = .2$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{11} &= E(X_1 - \mu_1)^2 = \sum_{\text{all } X_1} (X_1 - .1)^2 \cdot p(X_1) \\ &= (-1 - .1)^2(.3) + (0 - .1)^2(.3) + (1 - .1)^2(.4) = .69\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{22} &= E(X_2 - \mu_2)^2 = \sum_{\text{all } X_2} (X_2 - .2)^2 \cdot p(X_2) \\ &= (0 - .2)^2(.8) + (1 - .2)^2(.2) = .16\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{12} &= E(X_1 - \mu_1)(X_2 - \mu_2) = \sum_{\text{all pair } X_1, X_2} (X_1 - .1)(X_2 - .2) \cdot p(X_1, X_2) \\ &= (-1 - .1)(0 - 0.2)(.24) + (-1 - .1)(1 - 0.2)(.06) \\ &\quad + \cdots + (1 - .1)(1 - 0.2)(.00) = -.08 = \sigma_{21}\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\mathbf{E} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{E}X_1 \\ \mathbf{E}X_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1 \\ \mu_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} .1 \\ .2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma &= \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{X} - \boldsymbol{\mu})(\mathbf{X} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^T \\ &= \mathbf{E} \begin{bmatrix} (X_1 - \mu_1)^2 & (X_1 - \mu_1)(X_2 - \mu_2) \\ (X_2 - \mu_2)(X_1 - \mu_1) & (X_2 - \mu_2)^2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{11} & \sigma_{12} \\ \sigma_{21} & \sigma_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} .69 & -.08 \\ -.08 & .16 \end{bmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

P31. Example 2.13

$$V^{1/2} = \text{diag}(\sqrt{\sigma_{11}}, \sqrt{\sigma_{22}}, \sqrt{\sigma_{33}}) = \text{diag}(2, 3, 5)$$

$$V^{-1/2} = \text{diag}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{5}\right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\rho &= V^{-1/2} \Sigma V^{-1/2} \\&= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{3} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{5} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 9 & -3 \\ 2 & -3 & 25 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{3} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{5} \end{bmatrix} \\&= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{6} & \frac{1}{5} \\ \frac{1}{6} & 1 & -\frac{1}{5} \\ \frac{1}{5} & -\frac{1}{5} & 1 \end{bmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

- P34. For example,  $X = (X_1, X_2)^T$ , we wish to know if  $\mu_1 = \mu_2$ , then we will consider  $X_1 - X_2$  where  $c_1 = 1, c_2 = -1$ .

$$\begin{aligned} E\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{X} &= E(c_1 X_1 + \dots + c_p X_p) = c_1 E X_1 + \dots + c_p E X_p \\ &= (c_1, \dots, c_p) \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1 \\ \vdots \\ \mu_p \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{c}^T \boldsymbol{\mu} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{X}) &= E(\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{X} - E\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{X})^2 = E(\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{X} - \mathbf{c}^T \boldsymbol{\mu})^2 \\ &= E\mathbf{c}^T (\mathbf{X} - \boldsymbol{\mu})(\mathbf{X} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^T \mathbf{c} \\ &= \mathbf{c}^T E\{(\mathbf{X} - \boldsymbol{\mu})(\mathbf{X} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^T\} \mathbf{c} \\ &= \mathbf{c}^T \Sigma \mathbf{c} \end{aligned}$$

$$E\mathbf{Z} = E\mathbf{C}\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{C}E\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{C}\boldsymbol{\mu}_X$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma_Z &= \text{Cov}(Z) = E(\mathbf{Z} - E\mathbf{Z})(\mathbf{Z} - E\mathbf{Z})^T \\&= \text{Cov}(Z) = E(\mathbf{C}\mathbf{X} - E\mathbf{C}\mathbf{X})(\mathbf{C}\mathbf{X} - E\mathbf{C}\mathbf{X})^T \\&= \mathbf{C}E(\mathbf{X} - E\mathbf{X})(\mathbf{X} - E\mathbf{X})^T \mathbf{C}^T = \mathbf{C}\Sigma_X \mathbf{C}^T\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Example: Let  $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, X_2)^T$  be a random vector with mean vector  $\boldsymbol{\mu}_X = (\mu_1, \mu_2)^T$  and variance-covariance matrix

$$\Sigma_x = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{11} & \sigma_{12} \\ \sigma_{12} & \sigma_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

Find mean and variance of

$$\mathbf{Z} = (Z_1, Z_2)^T = (X_1 - X_2, X_1 + X_2)^T.$$

- ▶ Solution:

$$\mathbf{C} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_Z = (\mu_1 - \mu_2, \mu_1 + \mu_2)^T$$

$$\Sigma_Z = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{11} - 2\sigma_{12} + \sigma_{22} & \sigma_{11} - \sigma_{22} \\ \sigma_{11} - \sigma_{22} & \sigma_{11} + 2\sigma_{12} + \sigma_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

- ▶ If  $\sigma_{11} = \sigma_{22}$ , then  $X_1 - X_2$  and  $X_1 + X_2$  are linear independent.

P35. Partition the sample mean vector and covariance matrix

$$\bar{\mathbf{X}} = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{X}_1 \\ \bar{X}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \bar{X}_q \\ \dots \\ \bar{X}_{q+1} \\ \vdots \\ \bar{X}_p \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{\mathbf{X}}_1 \\ \bar{\mathbf{X}}_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

►

$$S_n = \left[ \begin{array}{ccc|ccc} s_{11} & \cdots & s_{1q} & | & s_{1,q+1} & \cdots & s_{1p} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & | & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ s_{q1} & \cdots & s_{qq} & | & s_{q,q+1} & \cdots & s_{qp} \\ \hline \hline s_{q+1,1} & \cdots & s_{q+1,q} & | & s_{q+1,q+1} & \cdots & s_{q+1,p} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & | & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ s_{p1} & \cdots & s_{pq} & | & s_{p,q+1} & \cdots & s_{pp} \end{array} \right]$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} S_{11} & S_{12} \\ S_{21} & S_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

►

$$S_{12} = S_{21}^T$$

P36. Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality is a famous inequality,

$$(b_1^2 + b_2^2 + \cdots + b_p^2)(d_1^2 + d_2^2 + \cdots + d_p^2) \geq (b_1d_1 + b_2d_2 + \cdots + b_pd_p)^2$$

Let  $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_p)^T$ ,  $\mathbf{d} = (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_p)^T$ , then

$$(\mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{b})(\mathbf{d}^T \mathbf{d}) \geq (\mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{d})^2$$

If  $\mathbf{b} - x\mathbf{d} \neq 0$ ,

$$0 < (\mathbf{b} - x\mathbf{d})^T(\mathbf{b} - x\mathbf{d}) = \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{b} - 2x(\mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{d}) + x^2(\mathbf{d}^T \mathbf{d})$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &< \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{b} - \frac{(\mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{d})^2}{\mathbf{d}^T \mathbf{d}} + \frac{(\mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{d})^2}{\mathbf{d}^T \mathbf{d}} - 2x(\mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{d}) + x^2(\mathbf{d}^T \mathbf{d}) \\ &= \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{b} - \frac{(\mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{d})^2}{\mathbf{d}^T \mathbf{d}} + (\mathbf{d}^T \mathbf{d})\left(x - \frac{(\mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{d})}{\mathbf{d}^T \mathbf{d}}\right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

- Let  $x = \frac{(\mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{d})}{\mathbf{d}^T \mathbf{d}}$ , we have

$$\mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{b} - \frac{(\mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{d})^2}{\mathbf{d}^T \mathbf{d}} > 0.$$

Hence

$$(\mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{b})(\mathbf{d}^T \mathbf{d}) \geq (\mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{d})^2$$

If  $\mathbf{b} - x\mathbf{d} = 0$ ,  $\mathbf{b}$  and  $\mathbf{d}$  are proportional or with same direction, then

$$(\mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{b})(\mathbf{d}^T \mathbf{d}) = (\mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{d})^2$$

P37.

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{d})^2 &= (\mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{B}^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{B}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{d})^2 \leq (\mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{B}^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{B}^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{b})(\mathbf{d}^T \mathbf{B}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{B}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{d}) \\&= (\mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{B} \mathbf{b})(\mathbf{d}^T \mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{d})\end{aligned}$$

or

$$(\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{d})^2 \leq (\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{B} \mathbf{x})(\mathbf{d}^T \mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{d})$$

If  $\mathbf{B}^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{x} = c \mathbf{B}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{d}$ , then  $(\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{d})^2 = (\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{B} \mathbf{x})(\mathbf{d}^T \mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{d})$ .

- ▶ Notice

$$\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{x} = \|\mathbf{x}\|^2$$

, Define  $\mathbf{x}^* = \mathbf{x}/(\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{x})^{1/2}$ , then  $\|\mathbf{x}^*\| = 1$ , and the optimal problem changes to

$$\max_{\|\mathbf{x}\|=1} \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{Bx}$$

- ▶ By spectral decomposition of  $\mathbf{B}$  that

$$\mathbf{B} = \lambda_1 \mathbf{e}_1 \mathbf{e}_1^T + \cdots + \lambda_p \mathbf{e}_p \mathbf{e}_p^T = P \Lambda P'$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{Bx} &= \mathbf{x}^T (\lambda_1 \mathbf{e}_1 \mathbf{e}_1^T + \cdots + \lambda_p \mathbf{e}_p \mathbf{e}_p^T) \mathbf{x} \\ &= \lambda_1 (\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{e}_1) (\mathbf{e}_1^T \mathbf{x}) + \cdots + \lambda_p (\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{e}_p) (\mathbf{e}_p^T \mathbf{x}) \\ &\leq \lambda_1 \{(\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{e}_1)^2 + \cdots + (\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{e}_p)^2\} \\ &= \lambda_1 \mathbf{x}^T P P' \mathbf{x} = \lambda_1 \|\mathbf{x}\|^2 = \lambda_1 \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Similar way

$$\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{B} \mathbf{x} \geq \lambda_p \{(\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{e}_1)^2 + \dots + (\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{e}_p)^2\} = \lambda_p \mathbf{x}^T P P' \mathbf{x} = \lambda_p \|\mathbf{x}\|^2 = \lambda_p$$

- ▶ Furthermore, if  $\mathbf{x}$  is perpendicular to  $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_k$ , i.e.  
 $\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{e}_i = 0, i = 1, \dots, k,$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{B} \mathbf{x} &= \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i (\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{e}_i)^2 + \sum_{i=k+1}^p \lambda_i (\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{e}_i)^2 \\ &\leq \lambda_i \{(\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{e}_{k+1})^2 + \dots + (\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{e}_p)^2\} \\ &\leq \lambda_{k+1} \{(\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{e}_1)^2 + \dots + (\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{e}_p)^2\} \\ &= \lambda_{k+1} \mathbf{x}^T P P' \mathbf{x} = \lambda_{k+1}\end{aligned}$$