



Letter Section

The proof of an inequality arising in a reaction-diffusion study
in a small cellB. Jumarhon^{a,*}, S. McKee^a, T. Tang^b^a *Department of Mathematics, Strathclyde University, Glasgow, G1 1XH, United Kingdom*^b *Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, B.C., Canada V5A 1S6*

Received 19 October 1992; revised 4 October 1993

Abstract

The monotonicity of a sequence arising in the convergence proof of a product integration scheme for a Volterra integro-differential equation is demonstrated.

Keywords: Monotonicity

In a paper in this journal Dixon [1] studied a nonlinear weakly singular Volterra integro-differential equation arising from a reaction-diffusion process in a small cell and attempted to demonstrate the convergence of a product integration method. The argument, however, depends crucially upon [1, second part of Lemma 5.2]. However, this is incomplete, based on the incorrect assumption that $f(i) > f(i+1)$, where

$$f(i) = (i^{1/2} - (i-1)^{1/2})e^{-n^2/(i\Delta t)},$$

n is any positive integer, and Δt is sufficiently small.

We shall require the following notation. Let $t_i = i\Delta t$, $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N$, with $N\Delta t = T > 0$. Define

$$\gamma^l(i) = \frac{1}{\Delta t} \left(1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^l e^{-n^2/t_i} \right) \int_0^{\Delta t} \frac{ds}{(t_i - s)^{1/2}}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N, \quad (1)$$

where l can be any positive integer or infinity.

The result of this note is: $\forall N > 0$, $\exists$ a finite L such that when $l > L$,

$$\gamma^l(i) > \gamma^l(i+1), \quad i = 1, \dots, N-1. \quad (2)$$

The demonstration of this result is necessary for Dixon's convergence argument.

* Corresponding author.

First we shall prove that

$$\gamma^\infty(i) > \gamma^\infty(i+1), \quad i = 1, \dots, N-1. \quad (3)$$

This can be achieved by showing that

$$k(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi t}} \left(1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-n^2/t} \right), \quad t > 0,$$

is monotonic decreasing.

One form of the functional equation of the so-called theta function reads (see, e.g., [2, p.15])

$$1 + 2\psi(x) = \left(1 + 2\psi\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) \right) x^{-1/2}, \quad (4)$$

where $\psi(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-n^2 \pi x}$; taking $x = \pi t$ in (4) yields

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi t}} \left(1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-n^2/t} \right) = 1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-n^2 \pi^2 t}. \quad (5)$$

Since $k(t)$ is the left-hand side of (5), it is clear that $k(t)$ is monotonic decreasing.

To see how this implies (3), consider the following. Note that for $t > 0$ and $a > 0$, $(1 + a/t)^{1/2}$ is also monotonic decreasing, so for $s \in [(i-1)\Delta t, i\Delta t]$,

$$\frac{(s + \Delta t)^{1/2}}{s^{1/2}} > \frac{((i+1)\Delta t)^{1/2}}{(i\Delta t)^{1/2}}.$$

Hence,

$$k(i\Delta t) \frac{(i\Delta t)^{1/2}}{s^{1/2}} > k((i+1)\Delta t) \frac{((i+1)\Delta t)^{1/2}}{(s + \Delta t)^{1/2}}, \quad (6)$$

that is,

$$\left(1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-n^2/(i\Delta t)} \right) \frac{1}{\Delta t s^{1/2}} > \left(1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-n^2/((i+1)\Delta t)} \right) \frac{1}{\Delta t (s + \Delta t)^{1/2}}. \quad (7)$$

Integrating both sides of (7) from $(i-1)\Delta t$ to $i\Delta t$ with respect to s gives

$$\gamma^\infty(i) > \gamma^\infty(i+1), \quad i = 1, \dots, N-1,$$

so,

$$\min_i \{\gamma^\infty(i) - \gamma^\infty(i+1)\} = 2\delta > 0.$$

But $\gamma^l(i)$ is a monotone increasing convergent series. Hence there exists an L such that when $l > L$,

$$\gamma^\infty(i) - \gamma^l(i) < \delta, \quad i = 1, \dots, N-1.$$

Thus for $i = 1, \dots, N-1$ and $l > L$,

$$\gamma^l(i) - \gamma^l(i+1) > (\gamma^\infty(i) - \delta) - \gamma^\infty(i+1) > \delta.$$

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the referee for providing a more concise proof of the identity (5).

The first author would also like to express his gratitude to Strathclyde University for providing the Ph.D. studentship.

References

- [1] J.A. Dixon, A nonlinear weakly singular Volterra integro-differential equation arising from a reaction-diffusion study in a small cell, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **18** (3) (1987) 289–305.
- [2] H.M. Edwards, *Riemann's Zeta Function* (Academic Press, New York, 1974).